1 2 3 4 5 6		DISTRICT COURT
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA -oOo-	
7 8 9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,	2:13-cr-352-GMN-PAL  GOVERNMENT'S MOTION FOR
10	vs.	LEAVE TO FILE LATE RESPONSE AND PARTIES' STIPULATION TO
11	JOHN ORTEGA,	CONTINUE GOVERNMENT'S DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO
12	Defendant.	MOTION TO SUPPRESS
13	COMES NOW the United States of America, by and through DANIEL G. BOGDEN, United	
14	States Attorney, and AMBER M. CRAIG, Assistant United States Attorney, and respectfully moves	
15	this Court for leave to file late responses to Defendant John Ortega's Motions to Suppress Evidence.	
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Daniel G. Bogden, United	
17	States Attorney, and Amber M. Craig, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United	
18	States of America, and Angela Dows, Esq., counsel for Defendant John Ortega, that the	
19	Government's deadline to respond to the Defendant's Motions to Suppress, currently set for May 12,	
20	2014, be vacated and continued for thirty (30), or to a date to be set at the Court's convenience.	
21	This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:	
22	1. Government and defense counsel agreed to stipulate to continue the Government's	
23	deadline to respond to the Defendant's Motions to Suppress. Government counsel was unexpectedly	
24	out of the office and was unable to prepare and file the stipulation before the deadline.	

## Case 2:13-cr-00352-GMN-PAL Document 38 Filed 06/09/14 Page 2 of 4

1	2. Government and defense counsel are engaged in plea negotiations which may result in	
2	the Defendant's moving to withdraw his Motions to Suppress. As such, additional time is necessary	
3	to allow counsel to pursue and finalize negotiations.	
4	3. The Defendant is incarcerated and does not object to the continuance.	
5	4. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of	
6	the response deadline.	
7	5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of	
8	justice.	
9	6. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time	
10	within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United	
11	States Code, Sections 3161(h)(3)(A) and (h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United	
12	States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).	
13	7. This is the first request for a continuance filed herein.	
14	DATED this day of May, 2014.	
15	DANIEL G. BOGDEN	
16	United States Attorney	
17	ANGELA DOWS, ESQ.  /s/ Amber M. Craig  AMBER M. CRAIG	
18	Counsel for Defendant Ortega Assistant United States Attorney	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA -000-3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 Plaintiff, 2:13-cr-352-GMN-PAL 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS VS. 6 OF LAW, AND ORDER JOHN ORTEGA, 7 Defendant. 8 FINDINGS OF FACT 9 Based upon the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the 10 Court finds that: 11 1. Government and defense counsel agreed to stipulate to continue the Government's 12 deadline to respond to the Defendant's Motions to Suppress. Government counsel was unexpectedly 13 out of the office and was unable to prepare and file the stipulation before the deadline. 14 2. Government and defense counsel are engaged in plea negotiations which may result in 15 the Defendant's moving to withdraw his Motions to Suppress. As such, additional time is necessary 16 to allow counsel to pursue and finalize negotiations. 17 3. The Defendant is incarcerated and does not object to the continuance. 18 4. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of 19 the response deadline. 20 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of 21 justice. 22 6. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time 23 7. within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, 24 United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(3)(A) and (h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18,

1 United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv). 2 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** 3 The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the 4 public and the Defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely 5 to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity 6 within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the 7 exercise of due diligence. 8 The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United 9 States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(A), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (h)(7)(B)(iv). 10 **ORDER** 11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Government's deadline to file its response to 12 Defendant's Motions to Suppress, currently scheduled for May 12, 2014, be vacated and continued 13 to the 13th day of June , 2014. 14 DATED this 9th day of June, 2014. 15 16 E PEGGY LEEN 17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24